

# Norway's National Consensus Platform for the Three Rs: Replacement, Reduction and Refinement



The background for the foundation of Norecopa



peta.org

Thanks to animal research, they'll be able to protest 20.8 years longer.



According to the US Department of Health and Human Services, animal research has helped extend our lide expectancy by 208 years. Of course, how you choose to spend those extra years is up to you. Foundation for Biomedical Research Is downers for white the instead of headth a local A list converted as XX and XX and

fbresearch.org

# <u>European Consensus-Platform for Alternatives</u> <u>ecopa.eu</u>

• Established in 2000



 Recognises National Consensus Platforms (NCPs) with 4 stakeholders equally represented:



Norecopa was established in 2007





# norecopa.no : an updated overview of global 3R resources





- Application of cardiac myocyte cell lines as models for heart diseases *C*, webinar (Anna Koncz), 8 July 2021
- > Berlin 3R seminar series: Refinement and Reduction 7, 8 July 2021
- How to perform a systematic review in biomedical research; lessons to improve reproducibility and rigour a, webinar (Shona Lang), 9 July 2021
- > Zebrafish as experimental model for research r
- > VetBioNet Summer School: Animal Infectious Disease Research: Good Practice Approaches, Ethics & 3Rs by Design (2, 12-14 July 2021)
- > KALAS International Symposium , Jeju Island, 14-17 July 2021
- > Berlin 3R seminar series: Replacement and Refinement r. 17 July 2021
- Norecopa: A National Consensus Platform working to advance the 3Rs internationally z, webinar (Adrian Smith), 19 July 2021
- > Animal Research: Critical, Challenging & Creative Thinking Course 7, 19-22 July 2021

#### August 2021

- > Course in Animal, General, and Plant Biosafety and Biosecurity @, Fort Collins, 2-6 August 2021
- ISAE2020 (54th Congress of the International Society for Applied Biology) 
  August 2021
- > 4th International TCPF Preclinical Imaging Symposium C, virtual event, 11-12 August 2021
- > EPAA Satellite Training on Skin Sensitisation Case Studies on DA (27, Maastricht, 22 August 2021
- > 11th World Congress on Alternatives and Animal Use in the Life Sciences 2, virtual event, 23
- August 2 September 2021
- > ASAB Summer Virtual Meeting ♂, 23-25 August 2021

# Pdf files of 80+ presentations held at Norecopa's meetings









Norecopa: PREPARE for better Science



f 🗾 🖻 🕂

# norecopa.no/meetings/presentations

Most of the presentations on this page are from events arranged by Norecopa. A few of them are from external events where Norecopa's staff have lectured. They are grouped into

- > General presentations
- > Care and use of animals in field research
- > Care and use of farm animals in research
- Care and use of fish in research

| Title   | Speaker       | Affiliation                     | Year |
|---|---------------|---------------------------------|------|
| General presentations                                   |               |                                 | _    |
| Design of animal studies: Increasing                    | Adrian Smith  | Norecopa                        | 2020 |
| reproducibility and animal welfare                      |               |                                 |      |
| PREPARE before you ARRIVE: Good                         | Adrian Smith  | Norecopa                        | 2019 |
| reporting relies on good planning                       |               |                                 |      |
| nimal-free testing and humans-on-a-chip: Leopold Koenig |               | TissUse GMBH,                   | 2017 |
| How far have we come? 🗗                                 |               | Berlin, Germany                 |      |
| Nordic 3R-Centres: What can we offer? 🗗                 | Tom Bengtsen  | Denmark's 3R-<br>Center         | 2017 |
| Prize-winning 3R activity in Norway 🖉                   | Gøril Eide    | University of<br>Tromsø, Norway | 2017 |
| Have the 3Rs made any difference? 🗷                     | Elliot Lilley | RSPCA, UK                       | 2017 |
|   |               |                                 |      |

# Norecopa's 3R Prize – approx. \$ 3,300























norecopa.no/about-norecopa/3r-prize

# https://norecopa.no/meetings

# International consensus meetings

Harmonisation of the Care and Use of:

- Fish (2005)
- Wildlife (2008)
- Fish (2009)
- Agricultural animals (2012)
- Wildlife (2017)

All the presentations and consensus statements on the web: a lasting resource





### **Databases & Guidelines**

Published lists of resources are difficult to search and quickly become outdated. Lists on a website are easier to search, but do not enable the use of filters or intelligent search engines. Norecopa has therefore constructed four databases, which together with all the text on this website can be searched simultaneously using the search field at the top of every page.

- 3R Guide: a global overview of databases, guidelines, information centres, journals, email lists, regulations and policies which may be of use when planning experiments which hight include animals. A quick overview of all the guidelines can be accessed here. Norecopa has written several of these, including the PREPARE guidelines for planning animal research and testing.
- NORINA: a global overview of audiovisual aids and other items which may be used as alternatives or supplements to animals in education and training at all levels from junior school to University, including dissection alternatives and surgical simulators.
- TextBase: a global overview of textbooks and other literature within laboratory animal science and related topics.
- > Classic AVs: a subset of NORINA covering audiovisual aids that are based on older technology.

These databases are updated regularly. Please give us feedback if you discover errors or omissions.

- The Norecopa website also includes four other collections:
- NAL: a collection of literature references relating to the <u>3Rs</u> from the US National Agricultural Library
- > European Commission datasets:
- <u>3Rs Knowledge Sources</u>: over 800 resources collected by the Commission in 2016
   <u>3Rs Education and Training Resources</u>, over 560 items collected in 2018
- Non-animal models for respiratory tract diseases, over 280 models identified in a literature review of over 21,000 publications

Here is an alphabetical global list of all the databases cites on the Norecopa website.

## norecopa.no/databases-guidelines

### links to over 70 other databases



# From **3R-Guide** (380 guidelines for animal research and testing) norecopa.no/3r-guide

Published online on 9 May 2011 Lab Anim, dot: 10.1256/a.2011.01018 Working Party Report Guidance on the severity classification of scientific procedures involving fish: report of a Working Group appointed by the Norwegian Consensus-Platform for the Replacement, Reduction and Refinement of animal experiments (Norecopa) P Hawkins (Convenor)\*, N Dennison\*, G Goodman\*, S Hetherington\*, S Llywelyn-Jones\*, K Ryder<sup>2</sup> and A J Smith<sup>4</sup> Figure 1. The design for the second secon Abstract Indexvery classification of procedues using animals is an important tool to help focus the implementation of etherment and to assault in eporting the application of the 28s (episcoment, reduction and reflement). The moonly, evised Directive that regulates animal research and study setting within the Suspinon Nixon equipmen. Memore States to ensure that all procedures as alled as 'non-recovery', 'mid', 'moderate' or 'seven', using assignment onterta set out by the European Commission However, these are focused upon temestral spoces, so are of limited relevance to thin users. A Working Group set up by lowequint Communu-Pathtem for the 358 Noncocapit has produced guidance on the classification of severby to codures involving fain, including examples of "substresshold", "mid", "modestar," severe and "upper three heares are to complement the EC guidelines and help to ensure that auflering initian is effectively precision execute has enablished a weaker have more consistent automation on severther ing fish, including field research, will be made to Est. Nam -baselt assessment humans and sinh, informent assett Laboratory Animati 2011: 1-6. DOI: 10.1258/la.2011.010181 Background ribis and othical comm orities and ethical committees when dece not a project should be licensed or funded An effortive prediction of the efforts of a research protocol is concerned helps to ensure that any pain, suf-these they may experience will be effectively nav also be a legal satur meny affect data quality. Severity classif tool to help focus the of reflorment, including monitoring its pro-lat in reporting the application of the 3Rs reduction and refinement) of Ruisdil and gral part of the legisla aht 2011 by the Laboratory Animals Lin

| Coppeigne 2011 by the Lat | Laware more \$11.1.1.   |
|---------------------------|---|
|                           | b) A specific set into a low spectra spectr |

# Guidance on the severity classification of procedures involving fish

Report from a Working Group convened by Norecopa

Food deprivation in rodents Toe clipping in mice Pain relief in rodents Fin clipping in fish

| Conducted in support<br>scientific purposes | rt of the revision of Directive \$6609/EEC on the protection of animals used for          |
|---|---|
|   | n B-1045 Bruseins / European Commissin, B-1045 Brusen - Belgium, Teischoner (33-2) 296 11 |

http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/lab animals/pdf/report ewg.pdf

P Hawkins, N Dennison, G Goodman, S Hetherington, S Llywelyn-Jones, K Ryder and AJ Smith

Laboratory Animals, 45: 219-224, 2011

Norecopa: PREPARE for better Science

norecopa.no/categories



norecopa



# The PREPARE guidelines

Frequently highlighted causes of the "reproducibility crisis":

- 1. Publication bias (reporting only positive results)
- 2. Low statistical power
- 3. P-value hacking (manipulating data to obtain significance)
- 4. HARKing (Hypothesizing after the results are known)
- 5. Lack of randomisation and blinding

norecopa.no/concerns

Separate presentation about PREPARE: Thursday 26 August - 3.00-5.00 p.m. S-311 norecopa.no/WC11-PREPARE



# **PREPARE** was born out of two frustrations

"We can solve the reproducibility crisis by

- courses in Experimental Design that focus primarily on the "mathematical" aspects (e.g. randomisation, experimental units, blinding, statistical methods)
- better reporting"



reddit.com



#### **Original Article**

#### PREPARE: guidelines for planning animal research and testing

Laboratory Animals 0[0] 1-7 © The Author(s) 2017 Reprints and permiss sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermission DOI- 10.1177/0023677217724823 s sageout com/home/las (\$)SAGE

Adrian J Smith<sup>1</sup>, R Eddie Clutton<sup>2</sup>, Elliot Lilley<sup>3</sup>, Kristine E Aa Hansen<sup>4</sup> and Trond Brattelid<sup>5</sup>

#### Abstract

There is widespread concern about the quality, reproducibility and translatability of studies involving research animals. Although there are a number of reporting guidelines available, there is very little overarching guidance on how to plan animal experiments, despite the fact that this is the logical place to start ensuring guality. In this paper we present the PREPARE guidelines: Planning Research and Experimental Procedures on Animals: Recommendations for Excellence. PREPARE covers the three broad areas which determine the quality of the preparation for animal studies: formulation, dialogue between scientists and the animal facility, and quality control of the various components in the study. Some topics overlap and the PREPARE checklist should be adapted to suit specific needs, for example in field research. Advice on use of the checklist is available on the Norecopa website, with links to guidelines for animal research and testing, at https:// norecopa.no/PREPARE.

#### Keywords

guidelines, planning, design, animal experiments, animal research Date received: 5 April 2017; accepted: 27 June 2017

#### Introduction

scrutiny, for good scientific and ethical reasons. Studies of papers reporting animal experiments have revealed risks for all involved. There is therefore, in our opinion, alarming deficiencies in the information provided,<sup>1,2</sup> an urgent need for detailed but overarching guideeven after the production and journal endorsement of lines for researchers on how to plan animal experiments reporting guidelines.<sup>3</sup> There is also widespread concern which are safe and scientifically sound, address animal about the lack of reproducibility and translatability of laboratory animal research.<sup>4-7</sup> This can, for example, contribute towards the failure of drugs when they enter human trials.8 These issues come in addition to other concerns, not unique to animal research, about publication bias, which tends to favour the reporting of positive results and can lead to the acceptance of claims as fact.9 This has understandably sparked a demand for reduced waste when planning experiments involving animals.<sup>10-12</sup> Reporting guidelines alone cannot solve the problem of wasteful experimentation, but thorough planning will increase the likelihood of success and is an important step in the implementation of the 3Rs of Russell & Burch (replacement, reduction, refinement).13 The importance of attention to detail at all stages is, Email: adrian.smith@norecopa.no

in our experience, often underestimated by scientists Even small practical details can cause omissions or arte-The quality of animal-based studies is under increasing facts that can ruin experiments which in all other respects have been well-designed, and generate health

> Norecopa, c/o Norwegian Veterinary Institute, P.O. Box 750, <sup>2</sup>Royal [Dick] School of Veterinary Studies, Easter Bush,

> Midlothian, UK <sup>3</sup>Research Animals Department, Science Group, RSPCA, Southwater, Horsham, West Sussex, UK <sup>4</sup>Section of Experimental Biomedicine, Department of Production

> Animal Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Oslo, Norway <sup>5</sup>Division for Research Management and External Funding, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway Corresponding author:

> Adrian Smith, Norecopa, c/o Norwegian Veterinary Institute, P.O. Box 750 Sentrum, 0106 Oslo, Norway.

norecopa

Pre-published under Open Access on 3 August 2017, sponsored by the Universities Federation for Animal Welfare (UFAW), UK

#### https://doi.org/10.1177/0023677217724823



Over 19,000 downloads from the journal website so far

# norecopa.no/PREPARE



### **PREPARE:**

Planning Research and Experimental Procedures on Animals: Recommendations for Excellence

### PREPARE covers 15 topics:

#### Formulation of the study

- 1. Literature searches
- 2. Legal issues
- 3. Ethical issues, harm-benefit assessment and humane endpoints
- 4. Experimental design and statistical analysis

#### Dialogue between scientists and the animal facility

- 5. Objectives and timescale, funding and division of labour
- 6. Facility evaluation
- 7. Education and training
- 8. Health risks, waste disposal and decontamination

#### Methods

- 9. Test substances and procedures
- 10. Experimental animals
- 11. Quarantine and health monitoring
- 12. Housing and husbandry
- 13. Experimental procedures
- 14. Humane killing, release, reuse or rehoming
- 15. Necropsy



### **PREPARE:**

Planning Research and Experimental Procedures on Animals: Recommendations for Excellence

### PREPARE covers 15 topics:

### Formulation of the study

- 1. Literature searches
- 2. Legal issues
- 3. Ethical issues, harm-benefit assessment and humane endpoints
- 4. Experimental design and statistical analysis

#### Dialogue between scientists and the animal facility

- 5. Objectives and timescale, funding and division of labour
- 6. Facility evaluation
- 7. Education and training
- 8. Health risks, waste disposal and decontamination

#### Methods

- 9. Test substances and procedures
- 10. Experimental animals
- 11. Quarantine and health monitoring
- 12. Housing and husbandry
- 13. Experimental procedures
- 14. Humane killing, release, reuse or rehoming
- 15. Necropsy

Items in pink are not typically highlighted in reporting guidelines

## norecopa.no/PREPARE/prepare-checklist



|              |   | 0  |
|--------------|---|--|
|              |   | Topic Recommendation   |
|              | The PREPARE Guidelines Checklist<br>Planning Research and Experimental Procedures on Animals: Recommendations for Excellence<br>Adian J. Smith, R. Edde Cuttori, Eliot Lilley, Kristine E.A., Hanseri & Tond Bratteld <sup>a</sup><br>Warespa. ch Romeign Internary Institut. 90. Bax 720 Sentum. 0109 Obis, Romey, "Boyal Obis School of Wernary Studies, Easter Bush,<br>Mode Daie: FCS96 UK - Research Anoma Department Scance Quare, PGAX Witherdree With Wert Statest, Birls 985, UK -:  | (B) Dialogue between scientists and the animal facility           5. Objectives and<br>timescale, funding<br>and division of<br>labour         Arrange meetings with all relevant staff when early plans for the project exist.           Discuss and division of<br>labour         construct an approximate timescale for the project, indicating the need for assistance with preparation,<br>animal care, procedures and waste disposal/decontamination.           Discuss and disclose all excepted and potential costs.         construct a detailed plan for division of labour and expenses at all stages of the study.   |
| - 00         | "Sector of Experimental Biomedrices, Department of Production Anima Clinical Sciences, Faculy of Wahrinary Medricin, Neurospine University of Life<br>Sciences, P. De Roy 146 Dep. 2003 Oak, Norway: 'Division for Research Management and External Funding, Western Norway University of Applied<br>Sciences, 50:20 Bergen, Norway.  | 6. Facility     Conduct a physical inspection of the facilities, be evaluate building and equipment standards and needs.     Discuss staffing levels at times of extra risk.   |
|              | PREPARE' consists of planning guidelines which are complementary to reporting guidelines such as ARRWE <sup>2</sup> .<br>PREPARE covers the three broad areas which determine the quality of the preparation for animal stuct<br>1. Formulation of the study<br>2. Dialogue between scientists and the animal facility<br>3. Quality control of the components in the study<br>The tooics will not always be addressed in the order in which they are presented here, and some tooic.   | cation and Assess the current competence of staff members and the need for further education or training prior.  |
| Ψ            | Control of the components in the study     Control of the components in the study     The topics will not always be addressed in the order in which they are presented here, and some topic.  | h risks,   |
|              | checkids can be adapted to meet special needs, such as field studies. PREPARE includes guidance on t<br>facilities, since in-house experiments are dependent upon their quality. The full version of the guidelines<br>website, with links to global resources, at https://norecopa.m/PREPARE.<br>The PREPARE guidelines are advantic set which will evolve as more species- and situation-specific guidelines are produced,  | Mass objects and         Unrecity or indirectly over the study.           decontamination         Assess, and if necessary produce, specific guidance for all stages of the project.           Discuss means for containment, decontamination, and disposal of all items in the study.   |
| 11 - 11      | and as best practice within Laboratory Animal Science progresses.   | (C) Quality control of the components in the study   |
|              | Topic Recommendation (A) Formulation of the study   | 9.Test substances<br>and procedures Consider the feasibility and validity of test procedures and the skills needed to perform them.  |
|              | I. Literature     Form a clear hypothesis, with primary and secondary outcomes.     searches     Consider the use of systematic reviews.     Decide upon databases and information specialists to be consulted, and construct search terms.   | 10. Experimental Beside upon the characteristics of the animals that are second affor the study and for coording Avoid generation of surplus animals.  |
|              | Assess the relevance of the species to be used, its biology and suitability to answer the experimental     guestions with the least suffering, and its wettere needs.     Assess the reproducibility and translatability of the project.  | 11. Quarantine and<br>health monitoring  |
| - <b>6</b> 6 | Beicke upon databases and information specialists to be consulted, and construct search terms:     Assess the reproducibility and translatability of the project.     C. Legal issues     Consider how the research is affected by relevant legislation for animal research and other areas.e.o.     animal transport, occupational health and safety.     Cocate relevant guidance documents (a.e., and the search and other areas.e.o.     animal transport, occupational health and safety.     Cocate relevant guidance documents (a.e., and the search and other areas.e.o.     animal transport, occupational health and safety.     Cocate relevant guidance documents (a.e., and the search and other areas.e.o.     animal transport, occupational health and safety.     Cocate relevant guidance documents (a.e., a.e.)     Accesses the reproduction and the publication of negative results. | like another lange under the second state of t |
| *:           | et us know  | and other techniques.       14. Humane killing.     Consult relevant legislation and guidelines well in advance of the study.       release, retus or<br>rehoming     Define primary and emergency methods for humane killing.   |
|              | Discuss the learning objectives, if the animal use is for educational or training purposes.     Anocate a seventy classification to the project.     Define objective, easily measurable and unequivocal humane endpoints.  | 15. Necropsy Construct a systematic plan for all stages of necropsy, including location, and identification of all animals and samples.  |
|              | Discuss the justification, if any, for death as an end-point.      Experimental design and     Define the experimental unit and decide upon animal numbers.     statistical analysis     Choose methods of randomisation, prevent observer bias, and decide upon indusion   | References 1. Smith AJ, Cluthon RE, Lilley E, Hanson KEA & Bratelid T. RREIMARE Guidollines for Planning Animal Research and Testing,<br>Laboratory Animale, 2017. DOI: 10.1177/002387721724823. 2. Kilknam yC, Browen WJ, Johnill Gr at Interving Bioscience Research Reporting: The ARRIVE Guidelines for Reporting Animal Research.<br><i>PolS Biology</i> , 2010; DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000412.   |
|              | and exclusion criteria.   | Further information<br>https://norecopa.no/PREPARE / post@norecopa.no / 🕥 @norecopa  |



# In addition to the checklist, much more information is available on:

# norecopa.no/PREPARE





# norecopa.no/PREPARE





7. Will the project undergo pre-registration or and will negative results be published, to avoid publication bias?

Many more links to resources on ethics are available here or.

Details about pre-registration of animal studies and reporting of critical incidents are to be found in the section on Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis 🖉.

Harm-Benefit Assessment

Norecopa: PREPARE for better Science

Discuss the justification, if any, for

death as an end-point.

4-Experimental design and statistical analysis

3i



NO

BRAINER

# "We ARRIVED, because we were PREPARED"

✓ Better Science
 ✓ Improved animal welfare
 ✓ Advancement of the 3Rs
 ✓ Safer working environment

# vimeo.com/358069203 or norecopa.no/PREPARE/film 3-minute cartoon film





# wiki.norecopa.no

#### Share Main page Discussion Main Page Contents [hide] Main page 1 Introduction and aims Recent changes 1.1 List of pages created so far Random page 2 Using the Refinement Wiki Help about MediaWiki 2.1 Back to Norecopa's Main Page 3 Evidence base Tools 4 Would you like to contribute? What links here 5 Acknowledgements **Related changes** Special pages

The Refinement Wiki

Born from the knowledge that a lot of good ideas on refinement circulate on discussion forums, but never get published.

# Designed to be

- a portal for rapid publication and dissemination of these ideas
- a place to identify experts on specific refinement techniques
- an aid to finding collaborators for multi-lab studies on refinement

Separate presentation: Tuesday 31 August 6.30-8.30 p.m. CEST (session S301). Pdf of the slides available at https://norecopa.no/WC11-wiki

### wiki.norecopa.no



Q

#### & AS191219 Talk Preferences Watchlist Contributions Log out

Refinement Wiki



Main page

Tools

Recent changes Random page

What links here

Related changes

Printable version

Permanent link

Cite this page

Page information

Upload file Special pages

Help about MediaWiki

Read Edit Edit source View history 🖈 More 🗸 Search Norecopa Wiki

#### Clicker training

Page Discussion

Clicker training is an operant conditioning based on positive reinforcement. When the animal offers the desired behavior, a *click* or another distinctive sound (secondary reinforcer) is delivered and within the following few seconds the reward is presented (primary reinforcer)<sup>[1]</sup>. The *click* bridges the time between the desired behavior and the presentation of the reward<sup>[1]</sup>. A target stick providing a visual guide for the animal can be used for the training.

Animals are usually trained individually, though it is also possible to perform clicker training in a groups, e.g. in mice, rats, and rabbits. For rats, it was demonstrated that they learned tasks by observing the clicker training of their cage mates<sup>[2]</sup>.

Clicker training can be used to train animals in a stress-free way. The following behaviours are examples for what this technique can be used for:

Mice: entering a tunnel, following a target stick, climbing on the palm of the hand 2[3]

Rats: following a target stick, voluntarily change to a cage, observational learning gr[2]

Rabbits: following a target stick, rearing/standing up to inspect the abdomen, approaching a human, being touched and lifted by a human, trimming nails, coming on command

**Pigs:** Pigs can be easily trained to cooperate if they are treated empathetically and desired behavior is reinforced by providing food stuff in form of treats and apple juice<sup>[4]</sup>.



Clicker training with mice using a target stick. Left: The mouse is following the target stick and is climbing on the experimenter's hand. If the hand is lifted, the mouse will remain on the palm of the hand. Right: The mice are trained in a group. Two mice are following the target stick on the palm of the experimenter's hand.

- 1. † <sup>1.0</sup> <sup>1.1</sup> Feng, Lynna C.; Howell, Tiffani J.; Bennett, Pauleen C. (1 August 2016). "How clicker training works: Comparing Reinforcing, Marking, and Bridging Hypotheses" & Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 181: 34–40. doi:10.1016/j.applanim.2016.05.012 & ISSN 0168-1591 &
- 1 <sup>2.0</sup> <sup>2.1</sup> Leidinger, Charlotte Sophie; Kaiser, Nadine; Baumgart, Nadine; Baumgart, Jan (25 October 2018). "Using Clicker Training and Social Observation to Teach Rats to Voluntarily Change Cages" *& JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments)* (140): e58511. doi:10.3791/58511. Journal 067X.
   PMC 6235608. PMID 30417890.
- 1 Leidinger, Charlotte; Herrmann, Felix; Thöne-Reineke, Christa; Baumgart, Nadine; Baumgart, Jan (6 March 2017). "Introducing Clicker Training as a Cognitive Enrichment for Laboratory Mice" & JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments) (121): e55415. doi:10.3791/55415& ISSN 1940-087X & PMC 5408971& PMID 28287586&.
- 4. 1 "Positive Reinforcement Training in Large Experimental Animals" & (PDF).

Experts for clicker training in mice and rats: TARC &, Mainz, Germany

This page was created and edited by KH191219 (talk).

This page was last edited on 27 May 2020, at 11:23.

Privacy policy About Norecopa Wiki Disclaimers





# Culture of Care

The International Culture of Care Network norecopa.no/coc

A demonstrable commitment, throughout the establishment, to improving:

- animal welfare
- scientific quality
- care of staff
- transparency for all stakeholders, including the public

It goes beyond simply complying with the law!



#### Communication and the Culture of Care

#### Penny Hawkins, RSPCA Research Animals Department on behalf of the International Culture of Care Network\* Effective two-way communication between scientists and animal technologists is essential for a good Culture of Care The European Commission suggests the 'development of formal and informal communication channels, for mutual benefit with respect to science and animal welfare Here are some examples from International Culture of Care network members Regular meetings Scheduled meetings for scientists, animal technologists, vets, unit











- Frameworks for classifying the welfare of farmed Atlantic salmon based upon severity assessment (2021-2025)
- SPHERTOX: Hepatic 3D spheroids, the next generation of testing in toxicology (2021-2025)
- ENRICH Fish (2015-2017): to improve the rearing conditions and welfare of Atlantic salmon used in laboratory experiments
- 3R-KART: A 3R-strategy for fish to establish suggestions for a platform for increasing implementation of the 3Rs in Norwegian fish research (2010-2012)
- Fish in Research environmental requirements and welfare indicators in fish (2009)

norecopa.no/species/fish/projects

## Thanks to Norecopa's main sponsors:



- Standing Committee on Business Affairs, Norwegian Parliament
- Norwegian Ministries of Agriculture and Fisheries
- Research Council of Norway
- Laboratory Animals Ltd.
- Architect Finn Rahn's Legacy
- Nordic Society Against Painful Experiments (NSMSD)
- Norwegian Society for Animal Protection (Dyrebeskyttelsen Norge)
- Norwegian Animal Protection Alliance (Dyrevernalliansen)
- Novo Nordisk
- Sanofi
- Scottish Accreditation Board (SAB)
- Stiansen Foundation
- Universities Federation for Animal Welfare (UFAW)
- US Department of Agriculture (USDA)

### Graphics: colourbox.com



# PREPARING, CARING, SHARING and FLAGGING

The scientific and welfare benefits of increased collaboration and transparency

Adrian Smith, Norecopa, Norway (adrian.smith@norecopa.no)

This poster presents a set of four icons which were made by Norecopa (the Norwegian platform for Replacement, Reduction & Refinement of animal experiments) to illustrate the 4 essential steps of good preclinical science.



Ensure that scientists and animal care staff collaborate closely from day one, to ensure all aspects of a study that potentially uses animals have been addressed norecopa.no/PREPARE



Encourage a strong Culture of Care around animal research, promoting mutual respect, animal and human wellbeing, and safety norecopa.no/coc



Promote examples of improvements in the care and use of animals, for example by using the Refinement Wiki norecopa.no/wiki



Highlight advances made within the 3Rs in scientific papers, if necessary in a separate methodology paper norecopa.no/3R

These icons can be downloaded as jpg and mp4 files from norecopa.no/PREPARE-CARE-SHARE-FLAG and used freely. Thanks to Per Trystad for the artwork.



**NOTECODE** PREPARE for better Science

# norecopa.no/PREPARE-CARE-SHARE-FLAG



# Thursday 26 August Session S311, 3.00-5.00 p.m. The PREPARE guidelines norecopa.no/WC11-PREPARE

Friday 27 August Session S113, 3.00-5.00 p.m. Wildlife research norecopa.no/WC11-wildlife

Tuesday 31 August Session S301, 6.30-8.30 p.m. The Refinement Wiki norecopa.no/WC11-wiki



