

European legislation on environmental enrichment: what this means for fish

PENNY HAWKINS BSC PHD RESEARCH ANIMALS DEPARTMENT, RSPCA



The RSPCA is the leading scientific animal welfare organisation in England and Wales. It was founded 193 years ago and is best known for its work to rescue and rehabilitate companion and wild animals. It has a network of local Branches, animal hospitals and clinics, and uniformed Inspectors who advise the public on animal care and investigate cases of cruelty or neglect. The RSPCA also has an Education team that produces resources and trains speakers for schools, and its own ethical food label, RSPCA Assured, which promotes better farmed animal welfare. All of the RSPCA's policies, campaigns and advocacy work are evidence-based and informed by the Society's Science Group.



The Science Group comprises four departments; Companion Animals, Farm Animals, Wildlife and Research Animals. All provide the scientific basis for RSPCA policy and strategy, and implement Society strategy in their respective area. The four departments cover pretty much all aspects of human-animal interaction between them, and there is a lot of useful cross over between us. For example, species are used in research and testing that are 'covered' by all three of the other departments, and the problem with bovine tuberculosis in the UK cuts across Farm Animals, Wildlife and Research Animals. ENRICH Fish is also an example of an initiative that is important for my Department and Farm Animals. You can find out more about the Science Group by going to the URL at the bottom right.



The Research Animals Department implements the RSPCA's strategy with respect to laboratory animals, which has two main strands.

First, we aim to achieve more effective ethical review of animal use, in which the harms and benefits, and whether and how animals should be used, are given careful scrutiny that involves a range of expertise and perspectives. A major area of work for us is promoting and supporting Ethical Review Bodies, such as the Animal Welfare Bodies required by the European Directive regulating animal care and use, of which more later.

Second, we believe (along with many others) that the Three Rs of replacement, reduction and refinement are essential for humane science. Replacement is our ultimate objective, but we also want to see numbers reduced to the minimum necessary to answer the scientific question, suffering minimised and welfare improved for as long as animal use continues. We were keen to become involved in the ENRICH Fish project, as large numbers of fish are used in research and testing and their refinement is often neglected – in fact, they are sometimes described as 'alternatives' even though they are clearly nothing of the sort! As the law recognises, fish are sentient and capable of suffering like all other species whose use is regulated (and probably some others besides).



I have also liaised with the RSPCA Farm Animals Department when inputting into the ENRICH Fish project, and we are keen to see how we might apply the outcomes to the RSPCA Assured standards for Atlantic salmon. Our other standards for fish are currently rainbow trout, just in the process of being updated, and standards for cleaner fish are still in progress. The next standards are likely to be for sea bream and sea bass.



So, moving on to look at the European legislation on the housing, husbandry and care of animals used for scientific purposes, and what this means for fish. This is Directive 2010/63/EU, which sets out requirements for regulating research and testing, and also includes an Annex on animal accommodation and care.



But it begins with some Recitals, which come before the legally binding Articles of the Directive and explain what drove the revision of the Directive, which took years of hard work in the late nineties and early noughties. These are informative because they set the context for the Directive and its Annexes and associated working documents.

The Recitals explain how the revision of the previous Directive, which dated back to 1986, was prompted by new knowledge about animal welfare and capacity of animals to sense and express pain, suffering, distress and lasting harm. This was coupled with changes in attitudes towards animals, and demands by some Member States for more extensive 'animal welfare rules'. Importantly, the Recitals spell out that animals have intrinsic value and should be treated as sentient – they also refer to ethical concerns of the public about animal use. And of course, as for all EU Directives, harmonisation of legislation was a key objective.



The relevant Article in the Directive is this one, number four, which requires that animal accommodation and care shall eliminate, or reduce to the minimum, any possible suffering, distress or lasting harm to the animals. Guidelines for animal accommodation and care are set out in Directive Annex III.

Directive 2010/63/EU

ANNEX III CARE AND ACCOMMODATION

All animals shall be provided with **space of sufficient complexity** to allow expression of a wide range of normal behaviour. They shall be given a **degree of control and choice** over their environment to reduce stress-induced behaviour. Establishments shall have **appropriate enrichment techniques** in place, to extend the range of activities available to the animals and increase their coping activities including **physical exercise**, **foraging, manipulative and cognitive activities**, as appropriate to the species. Environmental enrichment in animal enclosures shall be adapted to the species and individual needs of the animals concerned. The enrichment strategies in establishments shall be regularly **reviewed and updated**.

(RSPCA)

And this is what the Annex has to say about environmental enrichment, for all species in general. There are lots of essential principles with reference to complex space, degrees of control and choice over the environment; appropriate enrichment techniques; opportunities to exercise, forage, manipulate things and undertake cognitive activities; with a requirement for establishments to review and update their enrichment strategies.

All of this sounds very good, but what does the Directive advise for enriching the lives of fish in practice?



Here are the 'guidelines for fish'. The first thing to note is that these refer to 'fish', although there are over 25,000 species of fish. The second is that they are rather sparse and do not provide much guidance at all – appropriate enrichment, like hiding places or substrate, unless they don't need anything!



Annex III to the Directive was taken from this European Commission Recommendation, which goes into slightly more, species-group specific detail, but not very much. This recommendation 2007/526 was, in turn, taken from an Appendix to the Council of Europe Convention on the 'protection' of animals used for scientific purposes ...



... which was revised in 2006. This is not a legally binding regulation, but the revision of its Appendix A on accommodation and care was the basis for the equivalent Annex of the Directive.



The revision of the Appendix, which took place via a series of Council of Europe working groups between 1998 and 2006, was driven by very similar factors to the revision of the Directive – largely, increased knowledge and changing attitudes. Plus, there was a desire to incorporate new knowledge about animal behaviour, physiology and welfare into the guidelines.

		<u>e</u>	
	Staabourg, 15 June 2008	Cons 123 (2006) 3	
	Артем ор тне Вилореам Соличатион кол тне изсл гол Еррспинска, ако кол тне Guidelines for accommodation and care o артноутер by the Multin	PROTECTION OF VERTEBRATE ANIMALS CIENTIFIC PURPOSES (ETS No. 123) F ANIMALS (ARTICLE 5 OF THE CONVENTION)	
RSPCA			







	107
4. Housing,	enrichment and care
41.	Housing
	Fish behaviour will influence stocking density and schooling or tentrolial behaviour should be occurred. The stocking density of fish should be based on the batai naeds of the fish in respect of environmental conditions, health and welfare. Fish should have sufficient water volume for normal swimming. Measures should be taken to any of an infirming competitio aggression without therwise compromising animal welfare. Acceptable stocking density for a given specials with vary depending on water flow and current water quality, that was use, bat and the feasing method. In principle, groups should consist of fish of the same size to minimise the risk of injuries or cambitism.
42	Enrichment
	For some species, environmental enrichment may be necessary to take account of their behaviour, that, for example, in regrotation or prodution. Examples of such needs include provision of hiding places for wrases, or substrate such as sand for some flatish. Care is needed to ensure that environmental enrichment does not adversely affect water quality, but its should not impede the development of outlable masaures to enhance the writing of fab.
43.	Enclosures
	4.3.1. Fish holding facilities
	Fish care be maintained in land-based enclosures in dedicated studidings or in external areas, or in enclosures in open-water systems. Where practical, these should have controlled access and be arranged to minimise disturbance of the fish, and to facilitate maintenance of autiable environmental conditions.
	4.3.2. Land-based enclosures
	The materials used to construct the enclosures should be non-track, durable and with a month internal surface to prevent discription to the fifth. Enclosures should be of an appropriate size to accommodate the required stocking density of tash and should be able to reache the nonescary water frow. Enclosures should be of an appropriate shape to accommodate the behavioural needs and redeference of the particular experimental fish species; for example, circular enclosures are most appropriate for samminis. Enclosures should be self-clearing to aid removal of waste products and surplus feed.
	4.3.3. Open-water enclosures
	Fish, especially marine species, may be kept in large floating enclosures. The enclosure dimensions, including depth, should permit active swimming and shoaling of the fish. Neth size should permit good water actualnaps while preventing escape of fish. Enclosures should be degraded to minimise the risk of attack by predators. Enclosures should be inged to as to prevent their shape distorting in tidal flows or running water and thus trapping fish.
(RSPCA)	







These were the Expert Working Groups set up by the Council of Europe, with representation from a range of stakeholder organisations including scientists, animal technologists, breeders, animal welfare organisations, regulators and Member States. I was involved in these, and we were given the brief of using evidence – both from the scientific literature and examples of current good practice – to draw up guidelines that would satisfy behavioural needs, including a good quality and quantity of space and satisfying social needs (for social animals). As you can see, one of these groups was tasked with achieving this for 'fish'.

⇒Chi	www.felasa.eu/about-us/library/	Home Links Aboutus Contact Lo			
	felasa Federation of European Laboratory A	Animal Science Associations			
	Working Groups Recommendations	Policy Documents Announcements Accreditation Boards Search Board of Management			
	Continuing sponsorship	Library			
	Library	The FELASA library contains documents other than workinggroup reports, guidelines, recommendations or policy documents. These can be found under the respective tabs.			
	>> FELASA - Periodicals	 ETS123 - Appendix A: guidelines for accommodation and care of animals (adopted version). Background information on the draft proposal for species-specific provisions presented by Groups of Experts for amphibians, birds, cats, dogs, ferrels, non-human primates, reptiles, rodents and rabbits. Environment of a accommodation and care of animals paer in apphrasma and unit externing purposes. Based on the revised appendix A of the European Convention ETS 123. A joined publication of FELASA, EPAA and Laboratory Animals Ltd. 			
	Members				
		 European directive - 2010/63/EU - on the protection of animals used in scientific procedures. German Working Group on for Cage Processing in Animal Facilities - Brochure, 2013 Guiding principles on good practice for ethical review processes - LASA / RSPCA report, July 2010. FELASA - ASTAL Congress 2004 - Proceedings FELASA - SECAL Congress 2010 - Proceedings - New paradigms in laboratory animal science' FELASA - SECAL Congress 2013 - Abstracts (JAALAS) 			

We all had to produce a background review document, including recommendations for the Appendix guidelines document itself plus all of the evidence that we had gathered to substantiate our recommendations, with other useful and up-to-date information about good practice refinements for accommodation and care. Following publication of the Appendix, all of the so-called 'part B' documents were uploaded onto the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Association's website ... apart from the one for fish. This has never been made publicly available and seems to have sunk without trace.



So in my view fish have had a raw deal throughout the revision of the Convention and Directive and their Appendix and Annex. The thousands of different species are lumped together as 'fish' – when it would have been possible to account for many (or the majority) of fish used in the lab by focusing on a few widely used species, as we did for birds. Without a part B, there was no evidence that people could use to try to define species-specific standards that would improve the welfare of laboratory fish.



And of course this is not just about welfare – it is widely recognised that providing better quality and quantity of space, as in these larger enclosures for domestic fowl, also means better science. Given the current serious concerns amongst the scientific community with respect to the design, conduct, analysis and reporting of research, this has never been more important.



So what can be done, in the absence of defined and substantiated guidelines for fish species used in the laboratory, like the Atlantic salmon?

For now, there is one requirement in the Directive that can help to refine fish husbandry and care, including environmental enrichment. The local Animal Welfare Body has a number of important tasks including advising staff on matters relating to welfare, including accommodation and care, and keeping staff informed on 3Rs, technical and scientific developments. The AWBs are supposed to receive advice from their respective National Committees; this is not yet the norm, but the UK National Committee has begun formalising its communication with AWBs and encouraging them to network more widely. Other Member States, such as the Netherlands and Belgium, are developing networks for AWBs.

There is also a requirement in the Directive for establishments to have a person on site who is responsible for ensuring that staff have access to species-specific knowledge about the animals who they use and care for. These people, like the UK Named Information Officer, can be instrumental in bringing new scientific developments and good practice to the attention of research institutions.

All of these provisions, if properly implemented, could provide important channels for ensuring that new knowledge about fish behaviour, welfare and needs, and about empirically evaluated refinements, can reach establishments using fish. The ENRICH Fish project is playing an important role in helping to inform refinement for both laboratory and farmed Atlantic salmon, and I hope that projects like this will help lead to fish welfare being afforded the same priority as so-called 'higher' species.

